



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : A01N 59/06 // (A01N 59/06, 55:00, 25:04)		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/38867
			(43) International Publication Date: 11 September 1998 (11.09.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/03967 (22) International Filing Date: 2 March 1998 (02.03.98) (30) Priority Data: 08/812,301 5 March 1997 (05.03.97) US 08/972,653 18 November 1997 (18.11.97) US (71) Applicants: ENGELHARD CORPORATION [US/US]; 101 Wood Avenue, P.O. Box 770, Iselin, NJ 08830-0770 (US). THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, as represented by THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE [US/US]; U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250 (US). (72) Inventors: PUTERKA, Gary, J.; Billmyer Road, Route 1, Box 279, Shepherdstown, WV 25443 (US). SEKUTOWSKI, Dennis, G.; 1 Edna Horn Drive, Stockton, NJ 08559 (US). GLENN, D., Michael; P.O. Box 1408, Shepherdstown, WV 25443 (US). (74) Agents: MILLER, Stephen, I. et al.; Engelhard Corporation, 101 Wood Avenue, P.O. Box 770, Iselin, NJ 08830-0770 (US).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TT, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(54) Title: METHOD FOR PROTECTING SURFACES FROM ARTHROPOD INFESTATION			
(57) Abstract			
Disclosed is a method for protecting surfaces from arthropod infestation which involves treating the surface with an effective amount of finely divided calcined kaolins, hydrophobic calcined kaolins, hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic calcium carbonates, calcium carbonates or mixtures thereof.			

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

METHOD FOR PROTECTING SURFACES FROM ARTHROPOD INFESTATION

Cross-reference to Related Applications

5 This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application No. 08/812301, filed March 5, 1997, which is incorporated herein by reference for its teachings related to the invention disclosed herein.

Field of the Invention

10 The present invention is directed to a method for protecting surfaces from arthropod infestation by using certain nontoxic particulate materials.

Background of the Invention

15 The prior art has discussed the use of certain inert particulate solids as insecticides, see for example; Driggers, B.F., "Experiments with Talc and Other Dusts Used Against Recently Hatched Larvae of the Oriental and
20 Codling Moths," *J. Econ. Ent.*, 22 327-334 (1929); Hunt, C.R., "Toxicity of Insecticide Dust Diluents and Carriers to Larvae of the Mexican Bean Beetle," *J. Econ. Ent.*, 40 215-219 (1947); P. Alexander, J. A. Kitchener and H. V. A. Briscoe, "Inert Dust Insecticides," Parts I, II, and
25 III, *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 31 143-159, (1944), which concluded that "... the relative killing powers of different dusts run parallel with their capacities for promoting evaporation."; Chiu, S.F., "Toxicity Studies of So-Called 'Inert' Materials with the Rice Weevil and the
30 Granary Weevil," *J. Econ. Entomol.* 32 810-821 (1939); David, W.A.L. and B.O.C. Gardiner "Factors Influencing

the Action of Dust Insecticides," *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, 41
1-61(1950); Ebling, W. and R.E. Wagner, "Rapid
Desiccation of Drywood Termites with Inert Sorptive Dusts
and Other Substances," *J. Econ. Entomol.* 52 190-207
5 (1959); Bar-Joseph, M. and H. Frenkel, "Spraying Citrus
Plants with Kaolin Suspensions Reduces Colonization by
the Spirea Aphid," *Crop Prot.* 2 371-374 (1983); Farmer,
A.M., "The Effect of Dust on Vegetation - a Review,"
Environ. Pollut. 79:63-75 (1993); Dahliwal, J.S.,
10 "Effect of Rain Fall and Kaolinite Spray on the Corn Leaf
Aphid Infesting Barley," *Forage Res.* 5 155 (1979) and US
patents 3,159,536 (1964), 3,235,451 (1965), 5,122,518
(1992) and 5,414,954 (1995). In particular '945 relates
to "methods and means of selectively controlling the
15 movement of crawling arthropods and more particularly to
non-toxic non-debilitating methods and means for causing
crawling arthropods to abandon sites they have infested
or for discouraging crawling arthropods from infesting a
site where they are not wanted." According to '954 this
20 is accomplished by "a method of restricting crawling
arthropods from climbing onto a skewed surface comprising
forming an adherent, continuous, substantially uniformly
thick coating on said surface by applying thereto a
dispersion of minus 10 micron titanium dioxide particles
25 in a liquid and '954 specifically refers to a "method
wherein said crawling arthropods are cockroaches," each
of which is incorporated herein by reference with regard
to its teachings relating to particulate materials.

Chemical insecticides have been used extensively in
30 horticultural crop production to control certain
arthropod pests such as arthropods and mites. These

chemical insecticides generally belong to the following types of chemical compounds: inorganic (sodium fluoaluminate), organic (dithiocabamates, organophosphates), and antibiotic (agrimectins, spinosins). These chemical insecticides are physiological toxins that kill arthropod pests. Additional insecticidal classes are hormonal (phenoxyphenoxy) that kill arthropods by physiologically disrupting the growth processes, biologicals (entomopathogenic fungi, bacteria, and viruses) that kill by causing fatal diseases, soaps (potassium salts of fatty acids) that kill by suffocation, and diatomaceous earth that kills by desiccation.

The above references refer to particulate solids that are toxic to arthropods and kill these pests.

There is still a need for an effective nontoxic method for protecting surfaces from arthropod infestation based on particulate materials that are not considered harmful to mammals, birds, fish, beneficial arthropods, and the environment.

Summary of the Invention

A method for protecting surfaces from arthropod infestation which comprises applying to said surface an effective amount of one or more particulate materials selected from the group consisting of calcined kaolins, hydrophobic calcined kaolins, hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic calcium carbonates, calcium carbonates and mixtures thereof, said particulate materials being finely divided.

Detailed Description of the Invention

This invention relates to a method for protecting surfaces from arthropod infestation. The arthropods controlled by this invention (as well as the damage resulting therefrom) refer to arthropods including insects, mites, spiders and related animals. This invention is particularly effective in controlling damage caused by crawling, hopping or flying arthropod pests without using insecticides or physiological toxins that are harmful to human health and the environment. In addition, the method of this invention is non-toxic to many beneficial arthropods such as lady beetles and honey bees. Inclusive are other types of damage to crops commonly caused by arthropod transmission of disease such as the fungus disease, Dutch Elm disease, of American Elm by the European elm beetle; the bacterial disease, Fire blight, of apples and pears by flies, beetles and other insects; the virus disease, Curly Top, of sugar beats by the beet leaf hopper. Damage control also applies to those secondary infections of wound sites on a plant that result from arthropod feeding such as brown rot infection of stone fruits that results when the disease organism enters the plant through plum curculio feeding sites.

The instant invention provides a nontoxic environment that is effective at protecting surfaces against a broad-spectrum of arthropod pest activity by being effective against arthropods that crawl, hop and fly. Although some arthropods may die as a result of contacting the particles of this invention, the primary function of the treatment of this invention is to affect arthropod behavior instead of killing the arthropod and,

-5-

therefore is not considered harmful to many beneficial insects such as ladybugs and honeybees. While not being bound by theory, the effects of the particle treatment of this invention protect the surface by creating a hostile environment on the surface that repels arthropod pests such that they will not feed, lay eggs, or colonize and, therefore, will not infest or will abandon the treated site by making the surface become unrecognizable by feel, sight or otherwise and/or unpalatable or otherwise unsuitable as a food source or for colonization by arthropod pests failure to recognize the particle-altered surface or otherwise. These effects will vary by arthropod species and size. The particulate treatment does not need to have a smooth surface or one that is set at least a 20 degree angle to the horizon or a continuous coating free of bubbles and voids. A complete coating of the surface is desirable although certain gaps and voids are expected but will not influence the overall arthropod controlling feature of the treatment. The particles useful for this invention can be applied to surfaces that are horizontal or inclined, smooth or rough, or complex or simple in structure and a continuous bubble and void free film is not required for the particle treatment to be effective against most arthropods.

The surfaces to which this invention relate include surfaces that are subject to arthropod infestation and include, for example, man-made structures made of wood, concrete, plastic pipe, electrical cable etc. and include household applications such as protecting plumbing, clothes closets, food cabinets, electrical wiring, foundation, framing, basements, etc.; livestock; soils

including rangeland; stored agricultural products such as grains, seeds etc.; and agricultural and ornamental crops and the products thereof, including those selected from the group consisting of fruits, vegetables, trees, flowers, grasses, roots, and landscape and ornamental plants.

The particulate materials useful for the purposes of this invention are selected from the group consisting of calcined kaolins, hydrophobic calcined kaolins, hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic calcium carbonates, calcium carbonates and mixtures thereof.

Calcined kaolin is well known to those of ordinary skill in the art and can be prepared by calcining hydrous kaolin which is generally represented by the formula $\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4$. The calcined kaolin of this invention will usually have been subject to calcination temperature conditions in excess of about 350°C, more typically in excess of about 500°C and preferably between about 500°C and about 1100°C.

Calcium carbonate is a commonly available material. It occurs in nature as, for example, aragonite, calcite, chalk, dolomite, limestone, etc. or may be prepared synthetically by precipitation from the reaction of calcium chloride and sodium carbonate in water or by passing carbon dioxide through a suspension of hydrated lime in water.

Calcined kaolins, hydrous kaolins, and calcium carbonates are normally hydrophilic but their surfaces can be made hydrophobic by addition of hydrophobic wetting agents. Many industrial mineral applications, especially in organic systems such as plastic composites,

films, organic coatings or rubbers, are dependent upon just such surface treatments to render the mineral surface hydrophobic; see, for example, Jesse Edenbaum, Plastics Additives and Modifiers Handbook, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1992, pages 497-500 which is incorporated herein by reference for teachings of such surface treatment materials and their application. So-called coupling agents such as fatty acids and silanes are commonly used to surface treat solid particles as fillers or additives targeted to these industries. Such hydrophobic agents are well known in the art and common examples include: organic titanates such as Tilcom® obtained from Tioxide Chemicals; organic zirconate or aluminate coupling agents obtained from Kenrich Petrochemical, Inc.; organofunctional silanes such as Silquest® products obtained from Witco or Prosil® products obtained from PCR; modified silicone fluids such as the DM-Fluids obtained from Shin Etsu; and fatty acids such as Hystrene® or Industrene® products obtained from Witco Corporation or Emersol® products obtained from Henkel Corporation (stearic acid and stearate salts are particularly effective fatty acids and salts thereof for rendering a particle surface hydrophobic).

The term "hydrophobic" as used herein with respect to particulate materials of calcined kaolins, hydrous kaolins, and calcium carbonates shall mean that the surface of such particles are made hydrophobic by addition of hydrophobic wetting agents as described hereinabove.

Examples of preferred particulate materials suitable for the purposes of this invention that are commercially

available from Engelhard Corporation, Iselin, NJ are the hydrous kaolins sold under the trademark ASP®, calcined kaolins sold under the trademark Satintone® and the siloxane treated calcined kaolins sold under the trademark Translink®; and calcium carbonate commercially available from English China Clay under the trademarks Atomite® and Supermite® and stearic acid treated ground calcium carbonates commercially available from English China Clay under the trademarks Supercoat® and Kotamite®.

10 The term "finely divided" when utilized herein means that the particulate materials have a median individual particle size below about 10 microns and preferably below about 3 microns and more preferably the median particle size is about one micron or less. Particle size and
15 particle size distribution as used herein are measured with a Micromeritics Sedigraph 5100 Particle Size Analyzer. Measurements were recorded in deionized water for hydrophilic particles. Dispersions were prepared by weighing 4 grams of dry sample into a plastic beaker
20 adding dispersant and diluting to the 80 ml mark with deionized water. The slurries were then stirred and set in an ultrasonic bath for 290 seconds. Typically, for kaolin 0.5% tetrasodium pyrophosphate is used as a dispersant; with calcium carbonate 1.0% Calgon T is used.
25 Typical densities for the various powders are programmed into the sedigraph, e.g., 2.58 g/ml for kaolin. The sample cells are filled with the sample slurries and the X-rays are recorded and converted to particle size distribution curves by the Stokes equation. The median
30 particle size is determined at the 50% level.

Preferably, the particulate material has a particle size distribution wherein up to 90% by weight of the particles have a particle size of under about 10 microns, preferably below about 5 microns and more preferably about one micron or less.

The particulate materials particularly suitable for use in this invention are nontoxic.

The particulate materials are preferably nontoxic meaning that they are not physiological toxins and, in the limited quantities needed affect arthropod behavior to reduce arthropod infestation, such materials are not considered harmful to mammals, birds, and fish as well as well as arthropods, the environment, the applicator and the ultimate consumer.

This treatment when applied to horticultural crops should not materially affect the exchange of gases on the surface of said crop. The gases which pass through the particle treatment are those which are typically exchanged through the surface skin of living plants.

Such gases typically include water vapor, carbon dioxide, oxygen, nitrogen and volatile organics.

The surface to be protected is treated with an amount of one or more particulate materials selected from the group consisting of calcined kaolins, hydrophobic calcined kaolins, hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic calcium carbonates, calcium carbonates and mixtures thereof, that is effective in protecting the surface from arthropod infestation. The treatment coverage of said surface is within the skill of the ordinary artisan. Less than full surface coverage is within the scope of this invention and can be highly

-10-

effective, for example, with respect horticultural crops neither the under surface of the crop (that which is not exposed directly to the source of light) need be treated by the method of this invention nor must the upper

5 surface of the crop be completely covered; although full crop coverage can provide additional benefits such as effective disease control, smoother fruit surface, reduced bark and fruit cracking, and reduced russetting. Reference is made to U.S. Serial No. 08/972,648, filed

10 concurrently herewith on 18 November 1997 entitled "Treated Horticultural Substrates" which is incorporated herein by reference for its teachings regarding methods for achieving these additional benefits. The method of this invention may result in the residue of the treatment

15 forming a membrane of one or more layers of said particulate materials on the surface to be treated.

The particulate materials useful for the purposes of this invention may be applied as a dust or as a slurry of finely divided particles in a volatile liquid such as

20 water, a low boiling organic solvent or low boiling organic solvent/water mixture. Adjuvants such as surfactants, dispersants or spreaders/stickers (adhesives) may be incorporated in preparing an aqueous slurry of the particulate materials of this invention.

25 One or more layers of this slurry can be sprayed or otherwise applied to the surface. The volatile liquid is preferably allowed to evaporate between coatings. The residue of this treatment may be hydrophilic or hydrophobic. Applying particles as a dust may be

30 achieved by sprinkling, pouring, or dusting said particles directly on the surface to be protected as an

-11-

alternative method for carrying out the method of this invention.

Surfactants that are anionic, cationic or nonionic materials; and/or spreader/stickers that can be mixed with the particles useful for this invention (3% or more solids in water) to aid in spraying uniform treatments on the surfaces to be treated are: modified phthalic glycerol alkyd resins such as Latron B-1956 from Rohm & Haas Co.; Plant oils such as cotton seed oil, or plant oil based materials (cocodithalymide) with emulsifiers such as Sea-wet from Salsbury lab, Inc. or ; Polymeric terpenes such as Pinene II from Drexel Chem. Co.; nonionic detergents (ethoxylated tall oil fatty acids) such as Toximul 859 and Ninex MT-600 series from Stephan.

The particle treatment may be applied as one or more layers of finely divided particulate material. The amount of material applied is within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. The amount will be sufficient to repel or otherwise affect arthropod behavior and/or colonization on the surface to which these particles are applied. For example, this can typically be accomplished by applying from about 25 up to about 5000 micrograms of particulate material/cm² of surface for particles having specific density of around 2-3 g/cm³, more typically from about 100 up to about 3000 and preferably from about 100 up to about 500. In addition, environmental conditions such as wind and rain may reduce coverage of the particulate materials on the protected surface and, therefore, it is within the scope of this invention to apply the said particles to the surface being protected

-12-

one or more times so as to maintain the desired effect of invention.

The low boiling organic liquids useful in the present invention are preferably water-miscible and contain from 1 to 6 carbon atoms. The term "low boiling" as used herein shall mean organic liquids which have a boiling point generally no more than 100°C. These liquids enable the particulate solids to remain in finely divided form without significant agglomeration. Such low boiling organic liquids are exemplified by: alcohols such as methanol, ethanol, propanol, i-propanol, i-butanol, and the like, ketones such as acetone, methyl ethyl ketone and the like, and cyclic ethers such as ethylene oxide, propylene oxide and tetrahydrofuran. Combinations of the above-mentioned liquids can also be employed. Methanol is the preferred low boiling organic liquid.

Low boiling organic liquids may be employed in applying the particles to surfaces for the purposes of this invention. Typically, the liquids are used in an amount sufficient to form a dispersion of the particulate material. The amount of liquid is typically up to about 30 volume percent of the dispersion, preferably from about 3 up to about 5 volume percent, and most preferably from about 3.5 to about 4.5 volume percent. The particulate material is preferably added to a low boiling organic liquid to form a slurry and then this slurry is diluted with water to form an aqueous dispersion. The resulting slurry retains the particles in finely divided form wherein most of the particles are dispersed to a particle size of less than about 10 microns.

The following examples are illustrative of embodiments of the invention and are not intended to limit the invention as encompassed by the claims forming part of the application.

Example I

Acute toxicity of a hydrophillic kaolin made hydrophobic by treatment with siloxane, Translink® 77, on adult honey bees. Percent mortality was determined 48 hours after exposure to different concentrations of kaolin solubilized in 2 µl of methanol. Mortalities were compared to an untreated control and solvent control. Applications were made topically to 20 adult bees per treatment with 3 replications per treatment. Data is a summary of an acute honey bee toxicity test conducted by Wildlife International, LTD. (Proj. No. 469-101) for Engelhard Corporation.

Table I

Honey bee mortality 48 hours after Translink® 77

Treatment	Dose ($\mu\text{g a.i./bee}$)	% Mortality
Untreated	none	0.3
Solvent control	2 μl methanol	0.0
Translink 77	6.25.	0.0
	12.5	0.0
	25.0	0.3
	100.0	0.0

Translink* 77 siloxane treated kaolin(Engelhard Corporation).

-14-

This study shows that Translink® 77 is nontoxic to honey bees at a broad range of concentrations.

5

Example II

Acute toxicity of a hydrophilic kaolin made hydrophobic by siloxane treatment, Translink® 77, on lady beetle adults as compared to untreated control and toxic conventional insecticide.

Applications were applied 25 pounds material suspended in 4 gal methanol and added to 100 gal water. These treatments were applied at the total output of 125 gal/acre using an orchard handgun sprayer. There were 5
15 replications per treatment with single tree replicates. Lady beetle mortality was determined by counting the number of dead lady beetles on the ground in a 3 foot diameter around the base of each treated tree. Detrimental effect of applications on live lady beetle
20 numbers within treated trees was determined by counting total number within each treated tree. Data was analyzed using ANOVA and means were compared using the least significant differences method, LSD, at $P = 0.05$.

25

Table II

Mean (\pm SE) number of live lady beetle adults within the tree and dead lady beetles on the ground around each treated tree in a pear orchard 2 days after treatment, August 8, 1997,
30 Kearneysville, WV.

-15-

Treatment	Concentration	No. Lady beetles/tree	Dead lady beetles on ground/tree
Translink® 77 Kaolin	0.3% in H ₂ O	3.8 ± 1.1a	0.0 ± 0.0b
Agrimek (avermectin)	5.0 oz. a.i./acre	1.4 ± 0.7b	3.6 ± 0.7a
Untreated control	-	5.4 ± 0.9a	0.0 ± 0.0b

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05, LSD).

Data indicates that siloxane treated hydrophobic kaolin particle, Translink® 77, was not harmful to lady beetle populations within trees treated with this compound in comparison to the untreated control. Furthermore, lady beetles were not killed by the Translink® 77 treatment although the toxic chemical, Agrimek®, did. This study shows that Translink® 77 is nontoxic to beneficial lady beetles.

Example III

This example demonstrates how kaolin and calcium carbonate particle barriers are repellent and/or deterrent to egg laying by pear psylla. Ten adults were given a free choice between pear leaves treated with various types of calcium carbonate and kaolin particles that are hydrous, calcined or made hydrophobic by treatment with siloxane or stearate. Leaves were sprayed with a solution comprised of 5% particles and 10%

-16-

methanol in water using a hand held sprayer. Treatments included untreated and a 10% ME0H controls. Five mating pairs of adult pear psylla (n = 10) were released within a caged arena containing all eleven particle and

- 5 untreated control treatments. The experiment was a^a randomized block design with 5 replications. Adult and egg numbers were recorded 24 hours after being released within the arena. Data was subjected to ANOVA and means were separated using LSD, $P = 0.05$.

10

Table III

Repellant and oviposition deterrent effects of pear leaves treated with kaolin and calcium carbonate particle treatments on pear psylla adults.

15

Particle type	Treatment	Number present 24 hours after exposure	
		Adults	Eggs
--	Control	3.60 ± 1.47 AB	15.8 ± 7.69 A
--	Methanol Control	4.40 ± 0.51 A	9.40 ± 4.24 AB
20	Kaolin ASP 900- hydrous ¹	5.20 ± 1.24 A	7.20 ± 5.50 BC
	ASP 900- hydrophobic ²	1.00 ± 0.77 CDE	0.00 ± 0.00 C
	Satintone-W - calcined ³	2.40 ± 0.60 BC	0.00 ± 0.00 C
	Satintone-W - hydrophobic ⁴	1.00 ± 0.45 CDE	0.00 ± 0.00 C
	Translink 37- hydrophobic ⁵	0.00 ± 0.00 E	0.00 ± 0.00 C
25	Translink 77- hydrophobic ⁵	0.40 ± 0.40 DE	0.00 ± 0.00 C
	Calcium carbonate Kotomite - hydrophobic ⁶	0.00 ± 0.00 E	0.00 ± 0.00 C
	Atomite - hydrophyllic ⁷	1.80 ± 0.58 BCDE	0.00 ± 0.00 C

30

1. ASP[®] 900 (Engelhard Corporation) 2. ASP[®] 900 (Engelhard Corporation) treated with stearate. 3. Satintone[®] W (Engelhard Corporation) 4. Satintone[®] W (Engelhard Corporation) treated with stearate 5. Translink[®] 37 and 77 (Engelhard Corporation) 6. Kotamite[®] (ECC Int.) 7. Atomite[®] (ECC Int.)

35

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different, LSD, $P = 0.05$; mean of 5 replications.

Results demonstrate that these particles are repellent to adults in that they will not settle upon hydrophobic or calcined kaolin or hydrophobic calcium carbonate particle treated pear leaves. Data indicate that the repellent nature of these particles increases when hydrophillic materials are calcined or when hydrophillic materials are made hydrophobic.

10

Example IV

"Red Delicious" apple trees received the following treatments: 1) no treatment, 2) weekly application of siloxane treated hydrophobic kaolin particle, Translink® 77, beginning in March 11, 1997, 3) weekly applications of calcined hydrophillic kaolin particle, Satintone® 5HB, beginning in April 29, 1997, and 4) weekly application of stearate treated hydrophobic calcium carbonate, SuperCoat®, (commercially available from English China Clay) beginning in April 29, 1997. Kaolin and calcium carbonate treatments were applied 25 pounds material suspended in 4 gal methanol and added to 100 gal water. Satintone® 5HB applied 25 pounds material suspended in 100 gal water with the addition of 27oz Ninex® MT-603 and 2 pints Toximul®. These treatments were applied at the total output of 125 gal/acre using an orchard sprayer. The treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with 4 replications and 3 trees/plot. Treatments were not irrigated and received 21.58 cm of precipitation from 1 May to 30 August 1997. Fruit were harvested at

-18-

maturity; fruit number were measured at harvest. Data were analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and treatment means were separated using least significant difference method (LSD) at $P = 0.05$.

5

Table IV

Mean (\pm) arthropod numbers per terminal in various treatments on 'Red Delicious' apples on 7/1/97. There were 4 replications per treatment and 25 terminals per replication, Kearneysville, WV.

	Arthropod	Untreated	Translink 77	Satintone 5HB	SuperCoat
			Kaolin	Kaolin	Calcium Carb.
15	Pests				
	Mites	67.5±27.2 a	1.5±0.9 b	3.8±1.4 b	45.8±18.2 a
	Leafhoppers	61.0±16.0 a	8.0±5.2 b	16.5±2.3 b	44.8±7.7 a
	Thrips	4.5±1.9 a	0.5±0.5 b	1.0±0.6 b	3.0±0.7 ab
	Leaf Miners	0.0±0.0 a	1.0±0.6 a	0.5±0.3 a	0.8±0.5 a
20	Beneficials				
	Lacewing Eggs	1.8±0.6 ab	2.3±0.5 ab	0.8±0.8 b	0.3±0.3 b
	Lacewing Larvae	0.0±0.0 a	0.3±0.3 a	0.5±0.3 a	0.0±0.0 a
	Lady Beetle Larvae	0.0 ± 0.0 b	1.3±1.0 b	4.8±2.2 a	0.3±0.3 b
25	Lady Beetle Adult	0.0±0.0 a	0.5±0.5 a	0.8±0.5 a	0.3±0.3 a

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different. LSD, $P = 0.05$.

30

After 10 applications of the kaolin treatments gave the same or better levels of control of mites, leafhoppers, and thrips. The calcium carbonate treatment gave the same levels of control as kaolin treatments except for mites and leafhoppers. The kaolin and/or calcium carbonate treatments either did not significantly lower or increased beneficial arthropod numbers as compared to the untreated control block. No plant toxicity was noted in any treatment.

35

Conclusion from this data is that the kaolin and/or calcium carbonate treatments are effective against a broad range of arthropod pests without being harmful to beneficial arthropods.

5

Example V

Arthropod pest control in blackberries after 6 weekly applications of siloxane treated hydrophobic kaolin particle, Translink® 77, as compared to an untreated control. Applications were treatments were applied at 25 pounds material suspended in 4 gal methanol and added to 100 gal water. These treatments were applied at the total output of 125 gal/acre using an orchard sprayer. There were 3 replications per treatment. Arthropod counts were determined as average numbers per terminal from 10 terminals per treatment. Counts were made on June 24, 1997. Data were analyzed using Analysis of variance and treatment means were separated using least significant difference method (LSD) at $P = 0.05$.

10

15

20

Table V

Mean(\pm SE) numbers of arthropods per terminal after
6 weekly applications of Translink® 77 kaolin on
blackberry, June 24, 1997, Kearneysville, WV

5

	Untreated	Translink® 77 Kaolin
Leafhoppers	57.8 \pm 9.2 a	0.7 \pm 0.3 b
Thrips	3.5 \pm 0.9 a	0.7 \pm 0.3 b
Aphids	7.2 \pm 2.4 a	0.7 \pm 0.5 b
Psylla Damage ¹	20.7 \pm 4.6 a	0.8 \pm 0.5 b

15

Means within a column followed by the same letter
are not significantly different, LSD, P = 0.05.

¹Numbers represent whole block counts.

20

Data demonstrates that siloxane treated
hydrophobic kaolin particles, Translink® 77, are very
effective control agents against a broad range of
arthropod pests of blackberry.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for protecting surfaces from arthropod infestation which comprises applying to said surface an effective amount of one or more particulate materials selected from the group consisting of calcined kaolins, hydrophobic calcined kaolins, hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic calcium carbonates, calcium carbonates and mixtures thereof, said particulate materials being finely divided.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the particulate material has a particle size distribution wherein up to 90% of the particles have a particle size of under about 10 microns.

3. The method of claim 1 wherein said hydrophobic calcined kaolins, hydrophobic hydrous kaolins, and hydrophobic calcium carbonates have a hydrophobic outer surface prepared from materials selected from the group consisting of organic titanates, organic zirconate or aluminate coupling agents, organofunctional silanes, modified silicone fluids and fatty acids and salts thereof.

4. The method of claim 1 wherein the surface is a horticultural crop selected from agricultural and ornamental crops.

5. The method of claim 4 wherein the horticultural crop is selected from the group consisting of fruits, vegetables, trees, flowers, grasses, roots, seeds and landscape and ornamental plants.

6. The method of claim 1 wherein the finely divided particulate materials have a median individual particle size below about 3 microns.

7. A method for protecting horticultural crops from arthropod infestation which comprises applying to the surface of a horticultural crop selected from the group consisting of fruits, vegetables, trees, flowers, grasses, roots, seeds and landscape and ornamental plants which comprises applying to the surface of said horticultural crop an effective amount of a slurry of one or more particulate materials selected from the group consisting of calcium carbonate, hydrophobic hydrous kaolin, calcined kaolin, and mixtures thereof, said particulate materials have a median individual particle size of about one micron or less, and wherein said particles as applied allow for the exchange of gases on the surface of said crop.

8. The method of claim 1 or 7 wherein the finely divided particulate materials are applied one or more times during the growing season of said horticultural crop.

9. A method for protecting surfaces from arthropod infestation which comprises applying to the surfaces of agricultural products, man-made structures, and soils, an effective amount of one or more particulate materials selected from the group consisting of calcined kaolins, hydrophobic calcined kaolins, hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic hydrous kaolins, hydrophobic calcium carbonates, calcium carbonates and mixtures thereof, said particulate materials being finely divided.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.
PCT/US 98/03967

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 A01N59/06 //(A01N59/06,55:00,25:04)

According to International Patent Classification(IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 A01N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 3 159 536 A (R.MAROTTA) 1 December 1964 see column 1, line 15 - line 27 see column 2, line 34 - line 63 see column 3, line 34 - line 55 see column 5, line 67 - column 6, line 26 see column 7, line 37 - line 54	1-6,8,9
Y	---	7
Y	DE 29 26 095 A (TOYO AEROSOL IND CO) 6 March 1980 see page 14; example 6 ---	7

-/--

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"A" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

30 June 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

28/07/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2200 HV Rijswijk
Tel: (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 851 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Lamers, W

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US 98/03967

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>DATABASE CROPU STN-International STN-accession no. 97-87105, D.M.GLENN ET AL.: "Hydrophobic particles for pest control in deciduous tree fruit production" XP002069846 see abstract & HORTSCIENCE, vol. 32, no. 3, 1997, page 467</p>	1-9
X	<p>--- CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 99, no. 23, 5 December 1983 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 189761, BAR-JOSEPH ET AL.: "Spraying citrus plants with kaolin suspensions reduces colonization by the spiraea aphid " XP002069841 cited in the application see abstract & CROP.PROT., vol. 2, no. 3, 1983, pages 371-374,</p>	1-9
X	<p>--- CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 103, no. 23, 9 December 1985 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 191485, XP002069842 see abstract & JP 60 149 508 A (N.TOMONO) 7 August 1985</p>	1-9
X	<p>--- US 2 818 340 A (A.H.GODDIN) 31 December 1957 see column 1, line 15 - line 22 see column 2, line 44 - line 50 see column 2, line 52 see column 3, line 28 - line 37</p>	1-6,8,9
X	<p>--- DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 9411 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class C07, AN 94-086248 XP002069847 & JP 06 032 706 A (SHIKOKU SOGO KENKYUSHO KK) see abstract</p>	1-6,8,9

-/--

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 98/03967

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
X	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 122, no. 9, 27 February 1995 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 99375, XP002069843 see abstract & JP 06 305 907 A (SHIKOKU) 1 November 1994	1-6, 8, 9
X	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 121, no. 7, 15 August 1994 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 76190, XP002069844 see abstract & JP 06 080 508 A (SHIKOKU) 22 March 1994	1-6, 8, 9
A	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 69, no. 19, 4 November 1968 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 75906, B.MELICHAR ET AL.: "Evaluation of physical insecticides" XP002069845 see abstract & SCI. PHARM., PROC., 25TH (1966), MEETING DATE 1965, vol. 2, pages 589-597,	1-9
A	WO 94 09626 A (SIL TECH INTERNATIONAL CORP ;VRBA CENEK H (CA)) 11 May 1994 see the whole document	1-9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 98/03967

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 3159536 A	01-12-1964	NONE	
DE 2926095 A	06-03-1980	JP 1001514 B	11-01-1989
		JP 1553411 C	04-04-1990
		JP 55029524 A	01-03-1980
		FR 2434194 A	21-03-1980
		GB 2029441 A,B	19-03-1980
		NL 7905093 A	25-02-1980
		US 4450151 A	22-05-1984
US 2818340 A	31-12-1957	NONE	
WO 9409626 A	11-05-1994	AU 2884692 A	24-05-1994